

Time 10.00 am **Public Meeting?** Yes **Type of meeting** Advisory group

Venue Committee Room 4 - Civic Centre, St Peter's Square, Wolverhampton WV1 1SH

Membership

Chair Cllr Sandra Samuels OBE (Lab)

Labour

Cllr Alan Bolshaw
Cllr Ian Brookfield
Cllr Craig Collingswood
Cllr Celia Hibbert
Cllr Milkinderpal Jaspal
Cllr Rita Potter
Cllr Stephen Simkins

Conservative

Cllr Wendy Thompson

Quorum for this meeting is three Councillors.

Information for the Public

If you have any queries about this meeting, please contact the Democratic Services team:

Contact Dereck Francis
Tel/Email 01902 555835 or Email: dereck.francis@wolverhampton.gov.uk
Address Democratic Services, Civic Centre, 1st floor, St Peter's Square,
Wolverhampton WV1 1RL

Copies of other agendas and reports are available from:

Website <http://wolverhampton.moderngov.co.uk/>
Email democratic.services@wolverhampton.gov.uk
Tel 01902 550320

Please take note of the protocol for filming, recording, and use of social media in meetings, copies of which are displayed in the meeting room.

Some items are discussed in private because of their confidential or commercial nature. These reports are not available to the public.

Agenda

Part 1 – items open to the press and public

- | <i>Item No.</i> | <i>Title</i> |
|-----------------|--|
| 1 | Apologies (if any) |
| 2 | Declarations of interests |
| 3 | Minutes of the previous meeting - 5 July 2019 (Pages 3 - 6) [For approval] |
| 4 | Matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting |

DECISION ITEMS

- | | |
|---|--|
| 5 | Boundary Review (Pages 7 - 10) [To review the proposed governance arrangements for management of the Council's input to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England's boundary review in Wolverhampton] |
| 6 | Review of Polling Places and Districts (Pages 11 - 48) [To recommend to Council approval for the outcomes from the statutory quinquennial review of polling places and districts] |

| | |
|--|--|
| CITY OF WOLVERHAMPTON COUNCIL | Governance Committee Minutes - 5 July 2019 |
|--|--|

Attendance

Members of the Governance Committee

Cllr Sandra Samuels OBE (Chair)
Cllr Alan Bolshaw
Cllr Ian Brookfield
Cllr Celia Hibbert
Cllr Milkinderpal Jaspal
Cllr Stephen Simkins
Cllr Wendy Thompson

Employees

| | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Dereck Francis | Democratic Services Officer |
| Jaswinder Kaur | Democratic Services Manager |
| Martyn Sargeant | Head of Governance |

Part 1 – items open to the press and public

Item No. Title

- 1 **Apologies**
Apologies for absence was submitted on behalf of Councillor Craig Collingswood.
- 2 **Declarations of Interests**
No declarations of interests were made.
- 3 **Minutes of the previous meeting of the Special Advisory Group - 16 November 2018**
Resolved:
That subject to the inclusion of 'Councillor Sandra Samuels OBE' in the list of apologies received for the meeting, the minutes of the meeting of the Special Advisory Group held on 16 November 2018 be noted.
- 4 **Matters arising**
With reference to Minute 5 (Situation of Polling Stations for 2019 Local Elections), Martyn Sargeant, Head of Governance reported that the formal stage of the polling places review would commence in the coming weeks. A report on progress and final proposals would be submitted to a future meeting of the Committee. The Head of Governance informed the Committee that the Council had been notified by the Boundary Commission of its intention to undertake a review of the Council's ward

boundaries and the number of Councillors during 2019-2020 with a view to implementation of any proposed changes taking place in 2021.

Councillor Stephen Simkins asked whether the suggestion made at the previous meeting, that Bilston Town Hall be used as a polling station would go ahead. The Head of Governance reported that it could be picked up during the polling places review.

5 **Parental Leave Policy**

Councillor Sandra Samuels OBE presented the report on a proposal to recommend to Council that it introduces a parental leave policy.

Resolved:

That Council be recommended to approve the Parental Leave Policy.

6 **Appointment of Councillor Champions**

Councillor Ian Brookfield presented the report on proposals to make appointments to the position of Councillor Champions for Digital Innovation, Climate Change and Wolverhampton for Everyone.

Members of the Committee welcomed the proposals. Councillor Stephen Simkins also suggested that Councillor Champions should come under the scrutiny process and that consideration should be given to appointing a Councillor Champion for the West Midlands Combined Authority. Jaswinder Kaur, Democratic Services Manager informed the Committee that one of the current requirements of the Councillor Champion role was to report to the Scrutiny Board every six months on the activities of their Advisory Group. Work was ongoing on a refresh of the role of Councillor Champions.

Councillor Wendy Thompson commented that all Councillors should be champions for climate change and for digital innovation, and on that basis, she did not agree that the roles warranted a Special Responsibility Allowance. She also suggested that as part of the Council's response to Climate Change, it should consider signing up to no air travel.

Martyn Sargeant, Head of Governance responded to questions on the achievements of the current Councillor Champions and the costs of the proposals. He reported that the Equalities Member Champion and Equalities Advisory Group led on a strand of work that helped the Council achieve the grade 'Excellent' following an Equality Framework for Local Government Peer Review Assessment. The Councillor Development and Information Technology Advisory Group's role was to lead councillor development and induction programmes. Their work had helped inform the Councillor development programme in recent years. In terms of the additional costs from the proposals for the three posts of member champions, there was flexibility within the existing budget set aside for all Councillor allowances within Democratic Services to fund the proposals.

Resolved:

1. That Council be recommended to appoint Councillor Champions for Digital Innovation, Climate Change and Wolverhampton for Everyone.

2. That Council be recommended to note:

- a. That the term IT would be removed from the Councillor Champion for Councillor Development and IT title to avoid any confusion.
- b. That the Councillor Champion for Climate Change will Chair the Sustainability Advisory Group which would be renamed Climate Change Advisory Group.

7 **Friendship Agreement with Arjundhara Municipality, Nepal**

Martyn Sargeant, Head of Governance presented the report on an approach which had been made to the Council to enter into a friendship agreement with the Arjundhara Municipality, situated in the south-east of Nepal.

Resolved:

That Council be recommended to agree to the proposed friendship agreement with the Arjundhara Municipality in Nepal.

8 **Conferring the Title of Honorary Alderman**

The Committee considered a report in relation to whether to confer the title of Honorary Alderman on former Councillor Peter O'Neill and on former Councillor Tersaim Singh. The Committee were in support of the proposals.

Martyn Sargeant, Head of Governance reported that following publication of the agenda for the meeting, there was uncertainty as to whether Mr Tersaim Singh now wished to be considered for Honorary Alderman at this stage. Clarification would be sought from him prior to the Extraordinary Meeting of the Council on 17 July 2019.

Resolved:

That an extraordinary meeting of the Council be convened on 17 July 2019 to confer the title of Honorary Alderman on former Councillors Peter O'Neill and Tersaim Singh.

9 **Time of Future Meetings**

Resolved:

That the commencement time of meetings of the Committee during the remainder of the 2019/2020 Municipal Year be changed to 10 am.

This page is intentionally left blank

| | |
|--|--|
| CITY OF WOLVERHAMPTON COUNCIL | Governance Committee 18 October 2019 |
|--|--|

| | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Report title | Boundary review | |
| Cabinet member with lead responsibility | Councillor Sandra Samuels OBE Governance | |
| Key decision | No | |
| In forward plan | No | |
| Wards affected | All | |
| Accountable director | Mark Taylor, Deputy Chief Executive | |
| Originating service | Governance | |
| Accountable employee(s) | Martyn Sargeant | Head of Governance |
| | Tel | 01902 555045 |
| | Email | martyn.sargeant@wolverhampton.gov.uk |
| Report to be/has been considered by | n/a | |

Recommendations for decision:

The Governance Committee is recommended to:

1. Review the proposed governance arrangements for management of the Council's input to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England's boundary review in Wolverhampton.

Recommendation for noting:

The Governance Committee is asked to note:

1. That funding has been identified to provide project management support for the Council's work on the boundary review.

1.0 Purpose

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to ensure that the Governance Committee is aware of and able to review the proposed governance and management arrangements for the Council's submissions to the boundary review.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 In July 2019, the Local Government Boundary Commission for England advised its intention to carry out a review of the number of Councillors in Wolverhampton and the ward boundaries within the city. The review arose because of the period of time that had elapsed since the Commission's previous review (it has a statutory duty to conduct a periodic review of every local authority – the last review in Wolverhampton was 2003/2004) and because the number of voters in some wards in the city was significantly adrift of (either above or below) the average number per ward.
- 2.2 Following an initial explanatory meeting in July, briefing meetings have now been held with an officer working group, the Leader/Leader of the Opposition and all Councillors. The Council now has an understanding of the work that will be required on its part to facilitate the review, the significant majority of which will need to be completed by May 2020.

3.0 Principal workstreams

- 3.1 Although political groups may wish to put in individual submissions, the Council will need to make representations in three key areas:
- (1) The number of Councillors that the Council feels are required in order for it to effectively discharge its responsibilities. Due by 4 Feb 2020
 - (2) The expected number of voters in 2026 in each current polling district, based on current trends and known future developments. Due by 4 Feb 2020
 - (3) A detailed analysis of community geography across the city, identifying where the boundaries lie between one area and another. Due by May 2020
- 3.2 The primary contributing service areas are expected to be Electoral Services (for electorate data), Insight and Performance (for general data analysis and forecasts), GIS (for mapping) and Planning (for housing forecasts).
- 3.3 The first element will require detailed analysis of the Council's local and regional responsibilities and the eventual submission will inevitably be politically sensitive. The opposition group has already indicated that its position is likely to be different to that of the administration.
- 3.4 Although the second is relatively straightforward, it will require a significant collation of data, that Councillors will wish to approve prior to submission.

3.5 The third element is probably the most involved piece of work, which will require input from and consultation with every ward Councillor, and will also be politically sensitive, meaning the approval process will be involved.

4.0 Governance

4.1 There will be significant political interest in the review and its outcomes, and therefore the Council's submissions. Given the timeline, particularly in the initial stages through to the end of the first consultation period, it will not be possible to take each draft submission through multiple layers of political oversight. It is therefore recommended that the Governance Committee assumes primary responsibility for overseeing the work of employees to prepare each submission. This falls within the existing terms of reference for the Committee:

The Governance Committee shall consider and advise the Council on elections and electoral arrangements, including boundary reviews, and polling districts, places and stations, where these are not the sole responsibility of the Returning Officer.

4.2 Whilst the Committee may wish to refer some matters on to Council for consideration and/or approval, this is not likely to be practical in all instances, given the timetable. It is therefore recommended that Council delegates authority to the Committee to prepare the submissions, prior to final sign-off by Council.

5.0 Resourcing

5.1 In normal circumstances, a project like this would probably be overseen by the Electoral Services Manager or the Head of Governance, with oversight from the Director of Governance. The first and third of these posts are currently vacant and unlikely to be occupied before spring 2020; and the Head of Governance is already overseeing the Council's Brexit preparations and its readiness for an expected snap general election (in the absence of a service manager).

5.2 Each of these programmes of work (Brexit, boundary review and general election) carries significant statutory and reputational risk for the Council if not delivered properly. In light of this, the Council will appoint a Project Manager to oversee the Council's work on the boundary review, reporting jointly to the Heads of Governance and Strategy, whose teams are responsible for the majority of the required work.

5.3 The government has made available funding to councils to support Brexit preparations. Were the Head of Governance not leading on Brexit, he would be able to manage the boundary review, so it is suggested that Brexit funding is used to cover the Head of Governance's salary for time spent working on Brexit and the resultant saving used to fund the proposed Project Manager.

5.4 The expected length of the required support is about eight months (October 2019 to May 2020), which will cover the period during which the Council has to make its major submissions to the Boundary Commission. By the end of May it is likely that local, regional and national elections will have been concluded, and (probably) the exit stage of Brexit, which will release the Head of Governance to oversee the latter stages of the review.

6.0 Financial implications

- 6.1 Support will be provided for the Boundary Review from a range of services utilising existing resources with oversight from a proposed temporary project manager. The cost of this project manager is estimated to be in the region of £60,000. This will be funded by reducing the net cost of the Head of Governance through the application of Brexit funding and budget held for vacant posts within Governance.
[GE/09102019/V]

7.0 Legal implications

- 7.1 The Local Government Boundary Commission for England was established under the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 with a brief 'to provide electoral arrangements for English principal local authorities that are fair and deliver electoral equality for voters'. The Council has a duty to support the Commission's work and provide input in so far as that applies to Wolverhampton.
[TS/07102019/W]

8.0 Equalities implications

- 8.1 There are no equalities implications for the Council arising from this review and the Council's input to it. The Boundary Commission will have an obligation to consider its recommendations in the light of the Public Sector Equality Duty.

9.0 Climate change and environmental implications

- 9.1 There are no climate change and environmental implications arising from this report.

10.0 Human resources implications

- 10.1 There are no human resources implications arising from this report.

11.0 Corporate landlord implications

- 11.1 There are no corporate landlord implications arising from this report.

12.0 Health and Wellbeing implications

- 12.1 There are no health and wellbeing implications arising from this report.

13.0 Schedule of background papers

- 13.1 None.

| | |
|--|--|
| CITY OF WOLVERHAMPTON COUNCIL | Governance Committee 18 October 2019 |
|--|--|

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Report title | Review of polling places and districts | |
| Cabinet member with lead responsibility | Councillor Sandra Samuels OBE Governance | |
| Key decision | No | |
| In forward plan | No | |
| Wards affected | All | |
| Accountable director | Mark Taylor, Deputy Chief Executive | |
| Originating service | Governance | |
| Accountable employee(s) | Martyn Sargeant | Head of Governance |
| | Tel | 01902 555045 |
| | Email | martyn.sargeant@wolverhampton.gov.uk |
| Report to be/has been considered by | Council | 6 November 2019 |

Recommendations for decision:

The Governance Committee is recommended to:

1. Recommend that the Council approves the designation of polling places and districts for 2020-2025.
2. Recommend that the Council should delegate to the Returning Officer, following consultation with the Leader of the Council and Leader of the Opposition, authority to designate such alternative polling stations or arrangements as may be required for operational reasons.

Recommendation for noting:

The Governance Committee is asked to note:

1. That this review is separate to the work being carried out by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England, although these arrangements will have to be reviewed in the context of any changes the Commission may require in 2021.

1.0 Purpose

1.1 The purpose of this report is to seek approval for the outcomes from the statutory quinquennial review of polling places and districts.

2.0 Background

2.1 The Electoral Registration and Administration Act 2013 changed the timing of compulsory reviews of polling districts, polling places and polling stations. They must now be completed every five years within a specified 16 month period, the latest of which is between 1 October 2018 and 31 January 2020.

2.2 The review must consider the following areas:

- Polling district: the area created by the division of a constituency, ward or division into smaller parts, within which a polling place can be determined which is convenient to electors.
- Polling place: the building area in which polling stations will be selected by the Returning Officer.
- Polling station: the room or building where the poll takes place.

2.3 The Council must comply with specified access requirements, as follows: (a) seek to ensure that all the electors in the constituency have such reasonable facilities for voting as are practicable in the circumstances; (b) seek to ensure that so far as is reasonable and practicable, the polling places they are responsible for are accessible to all electors, including those who are disabled, and when considering the designation of a polling place, must have regard to the accessibility needs of disabled persons.

3.0 Formulating the proposals

3.1 Employees from Electoral Services conducted a review of polling arrangements across the city. This considered factors such as accessibility and suitability of premises, future availability, cost of provision and convenience for voters. The review used feedback from Councillors, voters and polling station staff to assess the suitability of current facilities. Mapping technology was used to calculate the number of residents that would use each station.

3.2 Guidance from the disability charity, Scope, was taken into account when identifying appropriate polling stations, particularly the recommendation that the maximum distance a resident should travel to a station is 1,500m (approximately one mile).

3.3 The Returning Officer is required to comment on both existing polling stations and the polling stations that would likely be used if any new proposals were accepted. These comments are included in the detailed analysis attached as appendix A. Copies of the ward maps relating to each set of proposals can be obtained from Electoral Services (electoral.registration@wolverhampton.gov.uk or 01902 555050).

3.4 There were three particular priorities for the review:

- (1) To identify ways to reduce the number of schools in use as polling stations, to minimise the impact on children's education.
- (2) To avoid the use of expensive and unsuitable temporary polling stations where possible.
- (3) To aim to achieve a more even distribution of voters across polling districts to optimise polling station usage.

4.0 Consultation

4.1 Consultation was conducted in three stages:

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| Autumn 2018 | Informal discussions with ward Councillors to identify issues with existing arrangements. |
| August 2019 | Public consultation to gather feedback from residents and special interest groups to inform the development of the Council's proposals. |
| Sept/October 2019 | Public consultation on the Council's proposals for 2020 and beyond. |

4.2 A summary of the feedback received from the public consultation is attached as Appendix B.

5.0 Financial implications

5.1 The Council is responsible for funding the cost of local elections, but is reimbursed by the government for its reasonable costs incurred in the administration of national and European elections and referenda.

5.2 Any reduction in the number of polling stations creates savings by reducing hire costs and the number of polling staff. The proposed reduction of polling stations under this review will facilitate cost reductions in the region of £4,000.

[GE/09102019/F]

6.0 Legal implications

- 6.1 The Council is legally required under the Electoral Registration and Administration Act 2013 to conduct a review of polling districts, places and stations every five years, within a set 16 month period. The consultation and review process is stipulated within the Electoral Commission's guidance and has been adhered to throughout.
[TS/07102019/R]

7.0 Equalities implications

- 7.1 An initial screening has been conducted, the result of which indicated that a full analysis was not needed. The key mitigating action was to ensure voters would not be required to walk further than one mile, which is the maximum distance recommended by Scope (NB: as an operational principle, the Council actually aims for half a mile as the maximum distance). Polling station employees are also trained to assist disabled people to vote if required, for example through the use of tactile voting aids or disabled access polling booths. Community groups with 'specific experience of assessing access for persons with different disabilities' were directly contacted for comment. There were no objections or representations from these groups.

8.0 Climate change and environmental implications

- 8.1 There are no climate change and environmental implications arising from this report.

9.0 Human resources implications

- 9.1 There are no human resources implications arising from this report.

10.0 Corporate landlord implications

- 10.1 There are no corporate landlord implications arising from this report.

11.0 Health and Wellbeing implications

- 11.1 There are no health and wellbeing implications arising from this report.

12.0 Schedule of background papers

- 12.1 None.

Polling Place Review Proposals: 2018 – 2020 review

Overall summary: The priorities of the review have been to reduce use of schools that have to close and temporary polling stations, whilst keeping all polling stations accessible and convenient for electors. At best, if all changes are accepted, the review would see a reduction of two temporary stations and seven fewer schools being used as polling stations.

The proposals for each ward are outlined in turn, listing the proposed change and no change for each polling district and polling station within the ward. The proposals have been shaped by data analysis of electorate size and feedback on polling station suitability following the last few elections.

The data is from the elections management system (express) and is correct as at 1 September 2018. The data reflects the number of electors per polling district and includes postal voters, therefore it is a maximum figure as postal voters are less likely to use the polling station. The electorate will vary slightly throughout the review process, as electors are added and removed from the register, however it will not be by a significant number. Population projections over the next four years have been factored in where there are significant housing developments (over 100 homes).

The guidance from the Electoral Commission is that a polling station should not exceed 2,500 electors. In Wolverhampton, the optimum electorate should be between 1,500 – 1,750 and we strive to ensure that polling stations are within 0.5 miles of all electors in the district, to balance the cost of running a polling station with ease of voting and accessibly for electors. Electoral Commission staffing guidance requires an extra poll clerk for polling stations with between 1,000 – 1,750 electors and three poll clerks for stations with between 1,750 – 2,500 electors. Therefore, it is more efficient from a cost perspective to keep stations at the optimum electorate. The proportion of the ward that use each polling station has been included to give an impression of use of facilities. The optimum range would be between 15% – 25%.

- Lower quartile: **0% - 9%**
- Lower middle quartile: **10 - 14%**
- Upper middle quartile: **15 - 17%**
- Upper quartile: **18 - 25%**

This proportional figure is calculated by dividing the number of registered voters in a district by the total number of registered electors in the ward. It therefore means smaller wards haven't been unfairly focussed on for having a smaller number of registered voters.

It is also important to draw a distinction between a polling place and polling station. A polling place is the designated building, whereas the polling station is the specific room within that building, e.g. Committee Room in the Civic Centre. The polling station is determined by the Returning Officer, and the polling place is determined by the Local Authority. Some stations in the lower quartiles may be a double station, so efficiencies would be achieved with one rent cost.

The following proposals do not take into consideration actual voter turnout, as this could fluctuate from year to year depending on political trends and fashions.

All polling districts will be subject to a new district reference to make it easier to pinpoint the polling district to a ward at a glance.

| Bilston East | | |
|--|---|--|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 9,999 (943 postal) | <p>HEB BED (8%) – Loxdale primary school (849 electors)</p> <p>HNA BEH (3%) – Temporary Polling Station, Horning Drive (302 electors)</p> | <p>HAB BEA (14%) – Bert Williams Leisure Centre, Nettlefolds Way (1,441 electors)</p> <p>HBA BEB (13%) – Holy Trinity Catholic School, Fraser Street (1,310 electors)</p> <p>HCA BEC (16%) – The Lunt Community Centre, Lunt Road (1,555 electors)</p> <p>HIA BEE (8%) – Lower Bradley Community Centre, Wallace Road (<i>double station with HLA</i>) (832 electors)</p> <p>HJB BEF (18%) – Bradley Senior Citizens Centre, Wallace Road (1,756 electors)</p> <p>HLA BEG (20%) – Lower Bradley Community centre, Wallace Road (<i>double station with HIA</i>) (1,959 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HEB – change of polling station to Holy Trinity Roman Catholic Church, Oxford Street • HNA – change of polling station to Rainbow Street Community Centre. | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>Loxdale Primary School is moving location in September 2019 to Dudley Street which is in the HAB district. The proposed polling station at Holy Trinity Church is in a good location in the district. It has a parish hall and good parking facilities. As well as keeping the polling station in the district, it would also reduce having to use a school which is a key priority of this review.</p> <p>There are no suitable buildings in the HNA district, therefore a temporary station has been used. It is a priority to reduce the number of temporary polling stations. The community centre is outside of the district and Wolverhampton but is 0.7 miles from where the temporary station is currently placed –a three-minute drive away, and there are a lot of households with cars in the area. Although, to walk it would take about 15-20 mins and is over a bridge/hill. The centre is used as a polling station by Dudley council.</p> | | |

There is a small room available for Wolverhampton to use as a polling station. Every elector could also be invited to **apply for a postal vote**.

In the 2018 local election, 76 voters turned out to vote – a 19% turnout. The cost per vote was £30 which is not cost effective. This proposal would save £1,635 as the cost of using rainbow street would be approximately £200 compared to £1,835 for temporary unit.

Although Bilston East has the highest number of polling districts in the city, and many operating at below the optimum level, these proposals do not include a suggestion to reduce the number due to the Bilston Urban Development programme which will increase the electorate. This will deliver an additional 431 houses up to 2023/4 in the HAB district with an expected increase in electorate to 1,077 taking HAB up to 2,500. The recommendation is to keep this under review and changes can be made as part of the next polling place review – potentially to redraw HAB and HNA around the Bilston Urban Village to more evenly distribute electorate between these two districts.

| Bilston North | | |
|---|---|--|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 8,708 (886 postal) | <p>IDA (4%) – Portobello Community Centre, Hill Road (<i>double station with IIB/ KGB</i>) (309 electors)</p> <p>IIB BNC – (18%) - Portobello Community Centre, Hill Road (<i>double station with IIB/IDA</i>) (1,532 electors)</p> <p>IAB BNA (22%) – Stowlawn Primary School, Green Park Avenue (2,106 electors)</p> <p>IFA BND (14%) – Villiers Primary School, Prouds Lane (<i>double station with ICA</i>) (1,257 electors)</p> | <p>ICA BNB (18%) – Villiers Primary School, Proud Lane (<i>double station with IFA</i>) (1,530 electors)</p> <p>IGB BNE (23%) – St Chad's Church Hall, Connaught Road (1,986 electors)</p> |
| Proposal: | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remove IDA district – IDA to become part of IIB. • IAB – Move part of Prouds Lane to IIB (north of Fieldside Walk). • IFA – Move Fieldside Walk and Moseley road to IBB. | | |
| Reason: | | |
| <p>IDA is in the lowest quartile for usage given the small number of electors, and although this is not technically counted as its own district (as there is not a separate ballot paper account for it), for simplicity it makes sense to remove it and include it as part of the IIB district. Taking in three roads from IAB and IFA helps with redrawing the boundaries to accommodate IDA. There are no other alternative buildings in the middle of the district, so this centre is preferable to keep as the polling station, and it remains a convenient location for the electors that would be affected by the move from IAB and IFA. These changes would take IIB up to 2,000.</p> | | |

| Blakenhall | | |
|---|--|---|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 8,365 (935 postal) | <p>JAA BOA (23%) – Bob Jones Community Hub, Bromley Street (1,918 electors)</p> <p>JMA BOE (6%) – St Theresa’s Primary School, Malins Road (530 electors)</p> <p>JHA (15%) – Phoenix Nursery School, Phoenix Street (1,270 electors)</p> | <p>JBA BOB (15%) – Royal Air Force Association Club, Goldthorn Road (1,228 electors)</p> <p>JIA BOC (20%) – Goldthorn Park Primary School, Ward Road (<i>double station with JKA</i>) (1,642 electors)</p> <p>JKA BOD (21%) – Goldthorn Park Primary School, Ward Road (<i>double station with JIA</i>) (1,784 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JAA – take in roads north of Curzon street from JHA (Curzon Street, Birmingham Road, Elm Farm Road, Johnson Street, Cartwright Street and Cousins Street, Part of Dudley Street north of Curzon Street, Grove Street) • JMA – take in roads south of Curzon street from JHA (Byrne Road, Napier Road, Arthur Street, Phoenix Street, Hailes Park Close, Barnard Place, Part of Dudley road south of Curzon Street) • JMA-Proposed Station-St Johns Methodist Church, Wolverhampton Road East, WV4 6AA • JHA – remove this district and associated polling station. Distribute the streets between JAA and JMA. | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>This would achieve a reduction of two schools used as polling stations which is a key priority of the review. It also makes more optimum use of polling stations by effectively removing one district.</p> <p>The streets proposed to move from JHA to JAA have approximately 419 electors which takes JAA up to 2,337 electors but is still within range. The streets proposed to move from JHA to JMA have approximately electors so brings up the electorate for JMA. The proposed polling stations are still within the recommended distance for all elections. Room 2 (smaller of rooms but would still accommodate a double station) is available.</p> | | |

| Bushbury North | | |
|--|--|--|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| <p>8,984 (1,088 postal)</p> | <p>ACA BUB (12%) – Elston Hall School, Stafford Road (1,039 electors)</p> <p>ADA BUC (11%) – Fordhouses Methodist Church Hall, Winchester Road (952 electors)</p> <p>AEA (12%) – Fordhouses and Oxley Community Centre (1,038 electors)</p> <p>AGA BUE (13%) – Northwood Park Primary School, Collingwood Road (1,182 electors)</p> <p>AHA (19%) – Collingwood Library, Broadway Gardens (1,717 electors)</p> | <p>AAA BUA (21%) – Church of St James, Taunton Avenue (1,904 electors)</p> <p>AFA BUD (13%) – Northycote Farm, Underhill Lane (1,160 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ADA – merge with AEA and use Fordhouses Baptist Church on Winchester Road as the polling station. • AEA – merge with ADA and use Fordhouses Baptist Church on Winchester Road as the polling station. • AGA- merge with AHA and use Collingwood Library, Broadway Gardens • ACA – Proposed station Temple Baptist Church- 30 Three Tuns Lane, take in roads from AGA (Lincoln Green, Grosvenor Road, Grosvenor Close, Grosvenor Crescent, Moreton Road, Alleston Road, Alleston Walk, Wood Lane, School Lane, Short Road) | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>There are a high number of polling districts in this ward for the electorate size, comparatively with the rest of the city. Other wards with a similar electorate have an average of six polling districts. ADA is largely industrial with a total of 952 electors. A merge would bring the total electorate up to 2,000. The Fordhouses Baptist Church on Winchester Road is more centrally located between the existing AEA and ADA district – There are groups using rooms on Thursday but could use the large worship room in the building.</p> | | |

For ACA The Temple Baptist Church-Three Tuns Lane is still central location and will remove the use of Elston Hall Primary school as a polling station. Moving the proposed roads into this polling district will bring the electorate up to 1801.

Councillors have suggested to leave as is.

200 homes will be built in AHA on former Northicote secondary school site up to 2021 which would take electorate up to slightly over 2,000 but still within appropriate range.

| Bushbury South and Low Hill | | |
|---|---|---|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 10,141 (1,080 postal) | <p>BAA BYA (26%) – Good Shepherd Centre, Second Avenue (<i>double station with BGA</i>) (2,629 electors)</p> <p>BGA BYD (12%) - Good Shepherd Centre, Second Avenue (<i>double station with BAA</i>) (1,205 electors)</p> | <p>BDA BYB (16%) – Low Hill Community Hub, Kempthorne Avenue (<i>double station with BEA</i>) (1,585 electors)</p> <p>BEA BYC (16%) – Low Hill Community Hub, Kempthorne Avenue (<i>double station with BDA</i>) (1,642 electors)</p> <p>BHA BYE (9%) – Tenants Meeting Room, Coven Lodge (912 electors)</p> <p>BIA BYF (12%) – Bushbury Triangle Management Centre, Stanley Road (1,206 electors)</p> <p>BJA BYG (10%) – Bushbury Lane Academy, Ripon Road (973 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BAA – Move industrial area, Guy Avenue, Willington Road and Luce Road to BGA • BGA – take in industrial area, Guy Avenue, Willington Road and Luce Road from BAA | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>BAA electorate is well above the optimum range at 2,629, and BGA is under at 1,205. Although they share a polling station, this would help to fairly distribute electorate in these districts.</p> <p>There are 150 homes planned to be built on the Tap works site up to 2022 which will increase electorate slightly in BDA but still within the appropriate range.</p> <p>There are 230 homes planned to be built on the goodyear tyre factory site from 2020-2023 which will increase electorate in BJA and will make better use of the facilities for this polling station.</p> | | |

| East Park | | |
|--|--|--|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| <p>8,820 (844 postal)</p> | <p>KHB EPE (21%) – East Park Library and Neighbourhood Centre, Hurstbourne Crescent (1,862 electors)</p> <p>KLA (3%) – All Saints Community Centre, All Saints Road (<i>double station with LAB</i>) (234 electors)</p> | <p>KAA EPA (15%) – East Park Methodist Church Hall, Brooklands Parade (<i>double station with KDB</i>) (1,313 electors)</p> <p>KBA EPB (16%) – Eastfield Community Centre, Colliery Road (1,387 electors)</p> <p>KDB EPC (17%) – East Park Methodist Church Hall, Brooklands Parade (<i>double station with KAA</i>) (1,521 electors)</p> <p>KGB EPD (22%) – Portobello Community Centre, Hill Road (1,906 electors)</p> <p>KIA EPF (7%) – Memorial Hall, George Street (<i>double station with LEA</i>) (601 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • KLA - merge with KHB and use Mount Shiloh Apostolic Church, Hickman Avenue as polling station Or the school next door. • KHB – merge with KLA and use Mount Shiloh Apostolic Church as polling station | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>East Park is a geographically challenging ward for splitting in to polling districts and finding suitable polling stations in those locations as certain districts are largely industrial and there is a lack of suitable buildings. KLA is such a small electorate and it is not cost effective to have a separate polling district and polling station for this area. Therefore, it has been proposed to merge with KHB and to move the polling station location so that it is more central to these districts.</p> | | |

| Ettingshall | | |
|--|--|--|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 9,933 (954 postal) | <p>LCA ETB (12%) – St Martins Church Hall, Parkfield Grove (1,220 electors)</p> <p>LJA ETE (7%) – Bilston Primary School, Albany Crescent (674 electors)</p> <p>LIA (8%) – Temporary Polling Station, Millfield’s Road (806 electors)</p> <p>LAB ETA (26%) - All Saints Community Centre, All Saints Road (<i>double station with KLA</i>) (2,540 electors)</p> | <p>LEA ETC (18%) – Memorial Hall, George Street (<i>double station with KIA</i>) (1,773 electors)</p> <p>LFA ETD (18%) – Windsor Centre, Parkfield Road (1,758 electors)</p> <p>LKA ETF (12%) – Temporary Polling Station, Overfield Drive (1,166 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LCA – to use the larger room in St Martin’s Church Hall and to take in Kent Road, Pond Dale, Pond Crescent, Bradley Road, Major Street, Banstead Close and Carshalton Road from LAB. • LJA – merge with LIA and use Bilstons People Centre as the polling station • LIA – merge with LJA and use Bilstons People Centre as the polling station. • LAB – move Kent Road, Pond Lane, Pond Crescent, Bradley Road, Major Street, Banstead close and Carshalton Road to LCA | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>LCA – there were complaints from electors over last few years that the room used at St Martins Church hall was too small and had inadequate facilities. We are able to book the large room going forward. The only other option is Parkfield Primary School which is not preferable. The other church in district (St Theresa’s church does not have a church hall).</p> <p>LJA and LIA each have a small electorate, and this would bring this up to 1,480. LIA currently has to use a temporary polling station. There may be a potential to use a nurse’s or sports building on the new Springvale development site. However, there is Bilston’s People Centre and Excel Church which are well located in LJA and close to LIA which would be suitable and reduce the need for using a school and temporary station.</p> <p>The LAB electorate is high at 2,540, and the LCA electorate is small at 1,220. Taking in these streets would help to even up the electorate and bring LAB down to 2,000 and LCA up to 1,700. LAB district is also scheduled to have 638 homes built between 2019 and 2024 between Cable Street/Steelhouse Lane and the Royal Hospital site, so this will have to be monitored until next review.</p> | | |

There are 375 homes to be built in the LEA district up to 2022, which could increase electorate up to the maximum, so will have to monitor for the next review.

| Fallings Park | | |
|---|---|---|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 8,761 (895 postal) | <p>CAA FPA (7%) – Berrybrook Primary School, Greenacres Avenue (597 electors)</p> <p>CBA (14%) – Neil Dougherty Education and Resource Centre, Masefield Road (1,250 electors)</p> | <p>CDA FPB (16%) – Fallings Park Methodist Church Hall, Wimbourne Road (1,362 electors)</p> <p>CEA FPC (17%) – D'Eyncourt Primary School, Mullett Road (1,465 electors)</p> <p>CGA FPD (17%) – St Gregory the Great Church Hall, Blackhalve Lane (1,508 electors)</p> <p>CIA FPE (15%) – Long Knowle Community Hub, Wood End Road (1,337 electors)</p> <p>CKA FPF (14%) – Fallings Park Primary School, Old Fallings Lane (1,250 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAA – merge with CBA and use Neil Dougherty Education and Resource Centre as polling station • CBA – merge with CAA and use Neil Dougherty Education and Resource Centre as polling station | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The combined electorate would be 1,900 which is still within the optimum range. It would also reduce the use of school, which is in the lowest quartile for use. (currently use main hall – just half with folding door as service users access other half of hall – it's a big space). | | |

| Graiseley | | |
|---|--|---|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 8,232 (1,121 postal) | <p>NAA GRA (20%) – Brickklin Community Centre, Cherry Street (1,670 electors)</p> <p>NDA GRB (23%) – Bantock Primary School, Aston Street (1,919 electors)</p> | <p>NGA GRC (17%) – United Reform Church Centre, Lea Road (1,439 electors)</p> <p>NHA GRD (14%) – Bradmore Community Centre, Birches Barn Road (1,134 electors)</p> <p>NIA GRE (12%) – Beckminster Methodist Church, Birches Barn Road (1,020 electors)</p> <p>NJA GRF (13%) – St Philips Church, Church Road (1,061 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NAA – Take in the Tower Works industrial estate and Pelham street from NDA • NDA – Move the Tower Works industrial estate and Pelham Street to NAA | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>77 homes are due to be built on the Tower Works industrial estate between 2019 – 2021. From an elector’s perspective, the most accessible polling station to them will be at Brickklin Community Centre in NAA. This is a minor change and will help to achieve an even electorate across NDA and NAA.</p> | | |

| Heath Town | | |
|---|---|--|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| <p>8,605 (977 postal)</p> | <p>DMB (0.4%) – Civic Centre Training Room, St Peter’s Square (<i>double station with RIA</i>) (36 electors)</p> <p>DAA HTA (17%) – Woden Primary School, Springfield Road (1,437 electors)</p> | <p>DCB HTB (22%) – Harvest Temple Church Hall, Wolverhampton Road (1,901 electors)</p> <p>DEA HTC (18%) – Holy Trinity Church Hall, Bushbury Road (1,545 electors)</p> <p>DGA HTD (16%) – St Patricks Church Hall, Wolverhampton Road (1,336 electors)</p> <p>DHA HTE (17%) – Wodensfield Primary School, Woden Avenue (1,434 electors)</p> <p>DJA HTF (11%) – St Patricks Primary School, Graiseley Lane (926 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DMB – merge with DAA and to use Woden Primary School as polling station • DAA – merge with DMB and to use Woden Primary School as polling station • DJA – use Wednesfield community hub as the polling station (share with Wednesfield south) | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>DMB is located within the DAA district and as it is largely a student area, over the last few elections, the polling station for this district was trialled at the Civic Centre, being central to the city centre to encourage voter turnout. At the last local election, only one voter turned out and 30 voters at the general election. Although turnout figures are not generally taken in to account, felt it pertinent to highlight given extremely low turnout. Even though the civic centre will still be used as polling station, this would be efficient and would reduce the paperwork including ballot paper account that needs to be completed for this station. Woden primary school is only 0.5 miles which is well within the recommended distance. All voters in DMB could be given a postal vote to help encourage turnout.</p> <p>There are 247 homes planned to be built up to 2022 in the DCB district, which has a high electorate but could accommodate up to 600 more electors to take to the maximum electorate. This could be monitored and reviewed in the next review.</p> | | |

| Merry Hill | | |
|---|---|---|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 9,203 (1,232 postal) | <p>OEA MHC (26%) - St Joseph's Church Hall, Coalway Road (<i>double station with QGA</i>) (2,393 electors)</p> <p>OCA MHB (8%) – Swanmore Community centre, Swanmore Close (755 electors)</p> | <p>OAA MHA (19%) – Uplands Junior School, Finchfield Road West (1,787 electors)</p> <p>OGA MHD (19%) – St Joseph's Church Hall, Coalway Road (<i>double station with OEA</i>) (1,790 electors)</p> <p>OIA MHE (15%) – Springdale Junior School, Warstones Drive (1,350 electors)</p> <p>OJA MHF (13%) – Springdale Methodist Church Hall, Warstones Drive (<i>double station with QBB</i>) (1,155 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OEA – Move streets east of Coppice road to OCA (Fir Tree Road, Woodland Crescent, Tiberton Close, Langley Gardens, Trysull road, Brandon park, Rowan Crecent and Laurel Grove) • OCA – Take in streets from OAE (Fir Tree Road, Woodland Crescent, Tiberton Close, Langley Gardens, Trysull road, Brandon park, Rowan Crecent and Laurel Grove) | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>The electorate in OCA is significantly below the optimum range, and the electorate in OEA is higher as a percentage of the ward distribution of electors. This proposal would achieve a greater optimum range of electors in both polling districts, and the OCA polling station is well within the 0.5 miles for electors to travel. This would mean moving approximately 500 electors to OCA, taking electorate up to 1,250 and reducing OEA to 1,885. This would also help to reduce the combined electorate of OGA and OEA that use St Joseph's Church Hall as a double polling station.</p> | | |

| Oxley | | |
|--|---|---|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| <p>8,888 (1,096 postal)</p> | <p>ECA OXB (32%) – Rakegate Primary School, Rakegate Close (2,842 electors)</p> <p>EFA OXC (9%) – Polish Catholic Centre, Stafford Road (<i>double station with RAA</i>) (760 electors)</p> | <p>EAA OXA (25%) – Dovecotes Primary School, Ryefield (2,208 electors)</p> <p>EGA OXD (18%) – Priory Green Community Hub, Whitburn Close (<i>double station with EHA</i>) (1,633 electors)</p> <p>EHA OXE (16%) - Priory Green Community Hub, Whitburn Close (<i>double station with EHA</i>) (1,455 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ECA – Move streets to EFA (Beech Road, Eccleshall Avenue, Churchfield Road and beech close). • EFA – Take in streets from ECA (Beech Road, Eccleshall Avenue, Churchfield Road and Beech Close). | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>ECA is significantly above the optimum electorate at 2,842 and EFA is significantly below at 760. Moving some streets from ECA to EFA would fairly distribute the electorate and bring ECA down to 2,529 and EFA up to 1,073. These streets have close access to Stafford road which makes it easier to get to the Polish Catholic Centre polling station. Even with changes there is still quite high electorate in ECA. EFA taking in Oxley Moor Road would remove another 363 electors however it would add an additional mile in travel to the new station.</p> <p>EAA- After the review we should consider possibility of using Dovecotes Community Centre or Pendeford Seventh-day Adventist Church or Dove Centre (due to school having to shut for elections)</p> | | |

| Park | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 7,924 (931 postal) | | <p>PAA PKA (18%) – Tenants Meeting Room, Upper Vauxhall (1,464 electors)</p> <p>PBA PKB (23%) – St Judes Church Hall, St Judes Road (1,834 electors)</p> <p>PDA PKC (15%) – St Judes Primary School, Paget Road (1,220 electors)</p> <p>PFA PKD (13%) – Zion City Tabernacle, Compton Road (1,004 electors)</p> <p>PGA PKE (16%) – Bantock House, Bantock Park (1,303 electors)</p> <p>PIA PKF (14%) – St Andrew's Primary School, Coleman Street (1,128 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>There is a fair distribution of electors, and there is a polling station within each district. The arrangement is working well.</p> | | |

| Penn | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| <p>9,974 (1,315 postal)</p> | | <p>QAB PNA (15%) – Warstones Primary School, Warstones Road (1,497 electors)</p> <p>QBB PNB (14%) – Springdale Methodist Church Hall, Warstones Road (<i>double station with OJA</i>) (1,410 electors)</p> <p>QDA PNC (14%) – Penn United Reformed Church Hall, Penn Road (<i>double station with QJA</i>) (1,406 electors)</p> <p>QEA PND (9%) – Woodfield Infant School (854 electors)</p> <p>QFA PNE (23%) – St Aidan’s Church, Mount Road (2,310 electors)</p> <p>QJA PNF (16%) – Penn United Reformed Church Hall, Penn Road (<i>double station with QDA</i>) (1,606 electors)</p> <p>QKB PNG (9%) – St Bartholomew’s Church Hall, Vicarage Road (922 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <p>No change</p> | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>There is a fair distribution of electors, and there is a polling station within each district. The arrangement is working well.</p> | | |

| Spring Vale | | |
|--|---|---|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 8,696 (930 postal) | <p>MFA SVD (28%) – Hill Avenue Academy, Hill Avenue (2,423 electors)</p> <p>MJA SVE (9%) – Hurst Hill Methodist Church, Hurst Road (758 electors)</p> <p>MCA SVB (13%) – Springvale Primary School, Kenilworth Crescent (1,138 electors)</p> | <p>MAA SVA (16%) – Hilton Hall Community Centre, Hilton Road (1,425 electors)</p> <p>MDA SVC (20%) – Holy Trinity Church Hall, Farrington Road (1,717 electors)</p> <p>MKA SVF (14%) – Manor Primary School, Ettingshall Road (1,240 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MFA – Move some streets to MJA (Woodcross Lane, Woodcross Street, Watson Road, Sherwin Avenue, Bellevue Street and Waddell Close) • MJA – Take some streets in from MFA (Woodcross Lane, Woodcross Street, Watson Road, Sherwin Avenue, Bellevue Street and Waddell Close) • MCA – Use Springvale Library as polling station | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>MFA has a high electorate at 2,423 and MJA has a low electorate at 758. Moving these streets from MFA to MJA would bring MFA down to 2,013 and MJA up to 1,168, whilst keeping it convenient for electors to access polling station.</p> <p>Springvale Primary School closes on polling day. Moving it to Springvale Library would help to reduce the use of schools which is a key priority of this review. There isn't a room available at the library but the whole library could be used as it is closed on a Thursday.</p> | | |

| St Peter's | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 7,475 (801 postal) | | <p>RAA SPA (7%) – Polish Catholic Centre, Stafford Road (<i>double station with EFA</i>) (551 electors)</p> <p>RBA SPB (24%) – Tenants Meeting Room, Firsbrook House, Firsbrook Close (1,817 electors)</p> <p>RCA SPC (14%) – Christian Pentecostal Church Hall, Gorsebrook Road (1,077 electors)</p> <p>RDB SPD (28%) – Gloucester Street Community Centre, Gloucester Street (2,094 electors)</p> <p>RGA SPE (15%) – Newhampton Arts Centre, Dunkley Street (1,087 electors)</p> <p>RHA SPF (6%) – University of Wolverhampton, Chaplaincy Building, Molineux Street (459 electors)</p> <p>RIA SPG (5%) – Training room, Civic Centre, St Peters Square (<i>double station with DMB</i>) (396 electors)</p> |
| Proposal: | | |
| No change | | |
| Reason: | | |
| <p>Due to nature of city centre geography, it is difficult to redraw suitable polling district boundaries to fairly distribute the electorate. To date, the arrangements have worked well, and there are no complaints.</p> | | |

| Tettenhall Regis | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| <p>9,292 (1,493 postal)</p> | | <p>SBB TRA (24%) – Christ The King Church, Pendeford Avenue (2,197 electors)</p> <p>SEB TRB (21%) – Claregate Primary School, Chester Avenue (1,939 electors)</p> <p>SGB TRC (10%) – St Michaels Parish Centre, Upper Street (964 electors)</p> <p>SHB TRD (11%) – St Michaels School, Lower Street (1,049 electors)</p> <p>SIB TRE (17%) – The Kings School, Regis Road, alternating with Tettenhall Wood School each year (<i>double station with SJB</i>) (1,594 electors)</p> <p>SJB TRF (17%) - The Kings School, Regis Road, alternating with Tettenhall Wood School each year (<i>double station with SIB</i>) (1,578 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <p>No change</p> | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>There is a fair distribution of electors, and the arrangement is working well.</p> | | |

| Tettenhall Wightwick | | |
|--|--|--|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 8,970 (1,697 postal) | <p>TKA TWF (25%) – St Columbas Church Hall, Castlecroft Road (2,287 electors)</p> <p>TCA TWB (19%) – Compton Youth Centre, Henwood Road (1,698 electors)</p> | <p>TBA TWA (13%) – Church Hall Newman Centre, Haywood Drive (1,131 electors)</p> <p>TEA TWC (14%) – Tettenhall Wood United Reformed Church, Mount Road (1,226 electors)</p> <p>TGA TWD (13%) - Endeavour Academy, Tinacre Hill (1,167 electors)</p> <p>THA TWE (17%) – Church of the Good Shepherd, Windmill Lane (1,491 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TBA-Move Nuffield Health Accommodation into TBA • TCA - Find an alternative to Compton Youth Centre proposed use of Temporary Polling Station at Martham Drive as in previous years. • TKA- Increase in Polling Booths | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TBA - No currently registered electors, however these are residential premises for health workers and as TBA has a lower current electorate it will help to reduce the imbalance. • TCA – Compton Youth Centre building in poor state of repair. It is a leased building – assets are going to review – get update in new year, • TKA – To ease congestion on polling day. | | |

| Wednesfield North | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| 8,624 (1,091 postal) | | <p>FAA WNA (20%) – Wood End Primary School, Wood End Road (1,709 electors)</p> <p>FBA WNB (17%) – Ashmore Park Community Hub, Griffiths Drive (<i>double station with FCA</i>) (1,433 electors)</p> <p>FCA WNC (20%) – Ashmore Park Baptist Church, Griffiths Drive (<i>double station with FBA</i>) (1,767 electors)</p> <p>FFA WND (12%) – St Alban’s Primary School, St Alban’s Close (1,053 electors)</p> <p>FHA WNE (13%) - Ashmore Park Baptist Church, Griffiths Drive (1,129 electors)</p> <p>FIA WNF (18%) – Oak Meadow, Primary School, Ryan Avenue (1,533 electors)</p> |
| Proposal: | | |
| No change | | |
| Reason: | | |
| There is a fair distribution of electors and the arrangement is working well. | | |

| Wednesfield South | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| Electorate | Polling district - changes | Polling districts – no change |
| <p>8,749 (1,145 postal)</p> | | <p>GAA WSA (17%) – Seventh Day Adventist Church, Lichfield Road (1,461 electors)</p> <p>GBA WSB (10%) – St Thomas Primary School, Mattox Road (886 electors)</p> <p>GCA WSC (16%) – Edward the Elder Primary School, Moathouse Lane East (1,395 electors)</p> <p>GFA WSD (16%) – Perry Hall Primary School, Colman Avenue (1,431 electors)</p> <p>GGA WSE (10%) – Mount Peniel Church Hall, Stubby Lane (906 electors)</p> <p>GHA WSF (17%) – Wednesfield Christian Centre, Broad Lane South (1,555 electors)</p> <p>GIA WSG (13%) – Wednesfield Community Centre, Well Lane (1,115 electors)</p> |
| <p>Proposal:</p> <p>No change</p> | | |
| <p>Reason:</p> <p>There is a good distribution of electors and the existing arrangements are working well.</p> <p>There are plans for 266 homes to be built from 2018 – 2023 on the Wednesfield High School Playing Fields Site in GAA district, and even with this increase, the district can accommodate more electors.</p> | | |

Feedback from Consultation

STAGE ONE

7 August-28 August

| Ward | Polling Station | Category | Suitability of Polling Station | Other suitable Locations |
|------------|---|----------|--|--|
| Merry Hill | Springdale Methodist Church Hall, Warstones Road, WV4 4LF | Voter | Suitable | Can't think of any |
| Merry Hill | Springdale Methodist Church Hall, Warstones Road, WV4 4LF | Voter | I have voted at this location several times. Parking was good. My wife is disabled - specifically walking is difficult - but the external and internal ramps have made entry quite easy. Good central location. | N/A |
| Penn | Woodfield Infant School, Woodfield Avenue, WV4 4AG | Voter | No significant concerns | N/A |
| Penn | Penn United Reformed Church Hall, Penn Road, WV4 5QF | Voter | Yes seems fine. A shame not used by the local community more often. | Penn Bowling Club St Barts Primary |
| St Peter's | Gloucester Street Community Centre, | Voter | The venue and access to the venue seems to be pretty much perfect for the role(s) it is | The venue itself is fine. The voting booths could be |

| | | | | |
|------------------|--|-------|--|---|
| | Gloucester Street, WV6 0PT | | designed for. The only issue that I can see is the voting booths themselves. Voting is supposed to be a personal choice that is free from any possible outside influence. The booths are a Three sided pedestal with no privacy. It is well known for the heads of some families to instruct or encourage other members to vote a certain way. This is obviously undemocratic. | arranged into individual booths by a wall maybe. |
| Park | St Andrew's CE Primary School, Coleman Street, WV6 0RH | Voter | Its fine, parking is very good, not much walking and all on the flat so accessible for husband without wheelchair. | N/A |
| Tettenhall Regis | Claregate Primary School, Chester Avenue, WV6 9JU | Voter | Why are we closing a school for polling day? It needs to be a community hall or centre? | Maybe Aldersley school of Arts, by the tennis courts. |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Can I suggest using community centres, church halls libraries or such like. Children should not be missing school. If a child was on holiday parents would be fined. It would be a good statement for the LA to state they do not close schools for elections |

STAGE 2

12 September - 2 October

Page 42

| Ward | Polling Station | Category | Suitability of Polling Station | Other suitable Locations | District line changes |
|-----------|--|----------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| East Park | Memorial Hall, George Street, WV2 2LY | Voter | So for me personally, the nearest voting office is at some memorial community room/centre near the priest field tram station, and, I must say that is convenient. it's easily accessible if your disabled, location is easy to get too and the lighting is adequate to see the ballot stations. | Keep one at the memorial building. | It's dreadful, like Love Island. I do not understand why I or other people on bottom of Stow Heath Lane (which a lot of people living in the area are 75+ and would take them a week to get there) would have to walk 20 minutes to a ballot station. It's much easier and quicker to get a flight on Virgin Space and go to the moon for breakfast, than walk all over the other side of East Park. |
| Graiseley | St Philip's Church, Church Road, Bradmore, WV3 7EN | Voter | Fine | N/A | N/A |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|-------|--|--|--|
| Wednesfield North | Wood End Primary School, Wood End Road, WV11 1YQ | N/A | It's an inconvenience to have the polling station in the schools due to the children having to have a day off. When this happens it's hard for working families to be able to find child care. There is a church across the road also there is a community centre also on woodend rd. Thank you" | Community centre | |
| N/A | | Voter | I think there should be appropriate parking provision, disabled access, lighting and security. However, I don't agree with schools being used at any location. Children should be allowed to have their education, uninterrupted by political matters. | N/A | N/A |
| Fallings Park | D`Eyncourt Primary School, Mullett Road, WV11 1DD | Other | Not suitable - The council should fine itself for using schools as polling stations. Governors should hang their heads in shame in approving the use of their schools. There are enough other buildings you could use if you really put some effort in to it. Come on practice what you preach about children missing days of education. | Why not have polling stations set up in places where people actually go? Supermarkets, pubs, local post offices, universities, gyms? | Less stations to avoid school use. If it means you have to walk a few more steps fine. Most probably use a car anyway. |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|-------|--|---|-----|
| N/A | | Voter | <p>As a Labour member I have door knocked on polling day in various wards of Wolverhampton. Changing polling station locations should be resisted if at all possible. Quite often people are unable to find their polling card and rely on the polling station being at the same place as the last time they voted. Wolverhampton already has very low voter turnout, with one ward recently making it into the lowest ten turnouts in the entire country as reported by the BBC. It is a constant battle to get people to vote. Changing polling station location is likely to have some voter suppression effect. People are more likely to do something they have done before.</p> <p>Particular attention should be paid to Wolverhampton's marginal wards, which are currently Bushbury North (margin of only 7 in 2019), Penn (margin of only 21 in 2018) and Merry Hill (margin</p> | Church halls Wimborne road - St Gregory's church - red lion pub - libraries- empty shops on fairview- use a portacabin - Lidl car park - pub car park - | N/A |
|-----|--|-------|--|---|-----|

| | | | | | |
|---------------|---|-----|--|-----|-----|
| | | | <p>of only 14 in 2016) where vote suppression is likely to change the result, but voter engagement is also a general problem in wards like Bushbury North, Failings Park and Bushbury South & Low Hill.</p> <p>It's disturbing that the priority of this review seems to be not closing schools rather than encouraging people to engage in the democratic process, when Wolverhampton has wards with shockingly abysmal voter turnouts.</p> | | |
| Fallings Park | Wodensfield Primary School, Woden Avenue, Wednesfield, WV11 1PW | N/A | <p>Parking is all on street and not fit for purpose. I also very strongly object to the closure of the school for the purpose of a voting station. My objection applies to the use of all schools in the City. The education of children should not be impacted on so that a handful of people can vote, especially as there are ample other sites available.</p> | N/A | N/A |

| | | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|---|---|-----|
| N/A | | N/A | <p>Could consideration be given to using more portacabins in lieu of closing schools for a day. I worked previously in a school that did close, and still does, and know the headache it causes parents for child care for one day. I'm now at a school that doesn't have to close fortunately.</p> | <p>Community Centre's, Church Hall's, Business premises may be willing to supply space, etc</p> | N/A |
| N/A | | N/A | <p>My wife is disabled and can only walk a short distance. For the first time in 50 years she can no longer vote. The polling station at Claregate school is too small. E.g. at the last national election the road was crowded with cars and the entrance blocked repeat. There are other good venues such as the Claregate Playing field. Am I right in thinking (as many do) that we are not encouraged to vote?</p> | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | <p>I complained earlier this year about the Voting Situation at Elston Hall Primary School. My complaint centred around: pupils being in</p> | N/A | N/A |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | <p>school (I do not think they should be, for all sorts of reasons), ease of entry to the building for disabled people (no ramp or rails to and poor signage to the side of the school building. I do not know all the requirements for 'safe secure' voting facilities. However, Community Halls and Centres, Wolverhampton Facilities such as Bentley Bridge, Aldersley Stadium? I apologise that I cannot think of more places, however, I am sure that you will get it sorted for everyone.</p> | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|

This page is intentionally left blank